

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR.

[The Editor does not hold himself responsible for opinions expressed by his correspondents. Neither can he undertake to return, or to correspond with the writers of, rejected manuscripts intended for this or any other part of NATURE. No notice is taken of anonymous communications.]

Dynamical Units.

WITHIN the moderate dimensions of a letter it is hard to give due weight to every aspect of a complicated matter, and while trying to emphasise one side I have somewhat overstated the case, as is evident from the way in which Prof. Lodge has taken me up. I was only considering the teaching of elementary dynamics to engineering students. I do not object to a teacher explaining that inertia is such an important constant property of matter, that equality of inertia is our *definition* of equal quantities of matter. What I do object to is, a common inversion of this, by which equality of inertia is *explained* by saying that the quantities of matter are equal. In addition, I urge that teachers of elementary *dynamics* should call what is usually called mass, inertia, so as constantly to bring before the student the fact that this is *the* property with which the dynamics of motion deals. I do not plead guilty in this to confusing the issues. The issues of Prof. Perry's review have been overlaid with a discussion as to one of the greatest advances of modern physics, namely the possibility of representing physical quantities by algebraic symbols; but I was trying to recall the original issue, as to the way dynamics should be taught to engineering students. Babies must be treated babyishly, and as long as engineering students are what they are now, and have to attend a variety of lecturers, and read engineering books as they are, I agree with Prof. Perry in recommending that the engineer's unit of inertia be used by their teachers. I have already explained that a multiplicity of units is a very minor difficulty to those who have once grasped what it is that is being measured, but I do think it confuses them, while getting these ideas, for one teacher to use one system, and another another system, and for each teacher to call the system of the others by hard names.

GEO. FRAS. FITZGERALD.

Definite Variations.

MR. F. A. BATHER, in the January number of *Natural Science*, has some remarks on Prof. Cope's "Factors of Evolution" which seem to call for further comment. The case is cited of sheep taken from Ohio to Texas losing the fine quality of their wool, and this definite variation, due to environment, being apparently inherited and cumulative, in spite of selection by the breeders of those lambs which least present the new character. Such facts as these are not new, and it seems to me that they represent simply a phase of atavism. On July 23, 1890, I was present at a meeting of the Royal Horticultural Society, at Chiswick, and heard Mr. E. J. Lowe give an interesting address on ferns. In the course of it, he told how he had a great number of varieties of the hart's-tongue fern, which, on changing his place of residence, he moved into new and poorer soil. They all reverted to the typical form, and it was not until they were again transplanted to good soil that they consented to exhibit their varietal characters! Now in the case of the sheep, the fine wool of the Ohio breed is not a specific character, but a varietal one produced under domestication; and it is not surprising, therefore, that removal to a locality less favourable, and, perhaps, more resembling that of the original type of the species, should produce reversion. But it is probable that, as in the case of Mr. Lowe's ferns, the varietal character could be made to reappear by transference to the former kind of environment. The precise explanation of such facts as these may probably be found in Dr. Weismann's principle of germinal selection, which has surely been more or less understood for a long time. The sheep is born with two or three distinct possibilities, as to its wool; one locality favours one of these possible developments, one another. It is a case parallel to that of an amphibious *Ranunculus*, which can be made to assume one form or the other, according to the terrestrial or aquatic environment.

It is worth while to add, that here in New Mexico, one frequently sees small, usually pale yellowish-brown, horses, with extremely well-marked leg-stripes. These are descendants of the horses which ran wild in former years over this country; and there can be little doubt, I think, that they represent an atavistic variety.

While it is probable that really new variations are equally in all directions, practically the variation of most organisms is remarkably definite, because so largely atavistic. And these definite atavistic variations may be perpetuated, in new combinations, in new races. It is precisely this which gives rise to "kaleidoscopic characters" in a group. A character may appear here and there, and species may be represented by different combinations of the same characters, as words are composed of combinations of the same letters.

To cite an illustrative instance, the wings of bees present frequently one marginal, three submarginal, and three discoidal cells. The submarginals may be reduced to two, or even to one, and the discoidals to two. All sorts of combinations, as to the number, shape, and size of these cells will be found, but the marginal will *not* be found lacking, nor the first discoidal or first submarginal absent, nor will the number of submarginals be found increased.¹ Really new variations, as new ones running out to form a second marginal or a fourth submarginal, appear in slight degree, but doubtless sufficiently to afford material for selection, under new environment; but the old and common variations may occur suddenly, so that there may even be a radical difference between the opposite wings of the same specimen. Very rarely, a remarkable sport will occur, not in accordance with our expectations, but these are much too rare to have selective value. In other hymenoptera, as the sawflies, the range of common variation is quite different; but still the variations to be looked for in each family are not miscellaneous, but run along certain well-recognised lines. To show that new, not atavistic, variations take definite lines is another matter, and I do not believe it can be done.

T. D. A. COCKERELL.

Mesilla, New Mexico, U.S.A., February 18.

The Coral Reef at Funafuti.

THE report on the coral reef at Funafuti that was read to the Royal Society on February 11, will doubtless be of very great interest to all who have studied the very difficult problems concerning the origin of reefs and atolls.

At the same time, many will wonder why Prof. Sollas characterises the boring as a failure. Scientific expeditions very rarely accomplish all that is anticipated or even expected of them, but they are not necessarily failures in consequence. It is true that the borings at Funafuti could not be carried to a depth of more than 105 feet, and that the structure they revealed was not "what a field geologist might have anticipated"; but they revealed the very important fact that underlying a coral reef of 50 feet in thickness, there was a stratum of sand containing a few coral blocks.

It is perhaps premature to consider, until further details are published, whether this fact supports the views of Mr. Murray or his followers; but what is perfectly clear at once, is that it lends no support to the well-known subsidence theory.

I think it is of importance to call the attention of the scientific public to this at once, because, after dismissing the boring as a failure, Prof. Sollas gives the results of the soundings made in the neighbourhood of the island by H.M.S. *Penguin*, and concludes by the statement that, in his opinion, these soundings support Darwin's theory of coral atolls.

I should not like at this stage to take upon myself the responsibility of saying they do not, but I should like to ask, after the negative evidence afforded by the borings, upon what grounds Prof. Sollas bases his opinions.

SYDNEY J. HICKSON.

Owens College, Manchester, February 20.

Two Unfelt Earthquakes.

REFERRING to Prof. John Milne's interesting communication on "Two Unfelt Earthquakes," asking for information as to whether these disturbances have been instrumentally recorded elsewhere, Dr. Copeland requests me to say that an examination of the photographs of the oscillation-curve of the bifilar pendulum at this observatory shows several disturbances on February 7, the first of the dates mentioned by Prof. Milne. These are as follows:—At 7.37 a.m. an abrupt movement of the pendulum towards the north; from 8.24 to 8.41 a.m. a distinct reduction in the intensity of the colour of the photographic

¹ *Melipona* and *Trigona* are exceptional, and in many ways depart widely from the normal type, so that they hardly come within the range of typical bee-modification.

trace, doubtless due to tremor of the mirror, and, though not so well marked, yet quite similar in character to the gaps described in a previous letter (NATURE, No. 1410, November 5, 1896); at 5.32 p.m. another abrupt movement to the north, and a similar one at 1h. 20m. after midnight. The three abrupt movements have been compared with the mean of two measures of the sensitiveness of the instrument, and show tilts in the mirror frame of 1'6, 1'1, and 1'3 seconds of arc respectively. In each case the mirror returned to the normal position slowly after a period of from 2 to 4 hours.

On the other date given by Prof. Milne—February 13—there is only a slight trace of irregularity in the curve, consisting of a bend towards the north at 8h. 2m. a.m., the mirror returning to its original position three hours later.

On dates more recent than those to which Prof. Milne calls attention, several disturbances have been recorded here. Of these the most strongly marked are: an abrupt north movement on February 16 at 8 p.m., and another on the 17th at 3 a.m. On the 18th there is a similar movement to the south at 6.15 a.m., followed by two smaller oscillations in the opposite direction, the three covering a period of 1½ hours. On February 19 there are two well-marked gaps, precisely similar in character to those described in the letter above referred to.

THOMAS HEATH.

Royal Observatory, Edinburgh, March 2.

1892 Captain V. Böttger obtained no less than eighteen specimens of that most singular rodent, *Heterocephalus glaber*, in one day, at the Wells of Herrer, near Archeisa, in North Somaliland. Sixteen specimens, well preserved in alcohol, were forwarded by him to Marquis Giacomo Doria, and have been distributed to various museums through the learned Director of the Museo Civico of Genoa. In his book ("Il Giuba esplorato," pp. 38-41, Roma, 1895) Captain Böttger figures the *Heterocephalus*, and also one of their colonies of singular conical mole-hills.

Florence, February 24.

HENRY H. GIGLIOLI.

THE CAUCASUS.¹

LITTLE though the methods and spirit of sport have in common with those of science, each subject is greatly indebted to the other. Our knowledge of the habits of big game is mainly due to hunters; and for the first great advances in mountain cartography and in the study of high mountain regions, we are indebted to climbers. In the early days of the Alpine Club some of its members, at the suggestion of John Ball, placed instruments on summits that were accessible only to



FIG. 1.—Ushkul.

[From a photograph by Vittorio Sella.]

The Origin of Manna.

THE note in NATURE, p. 349, concerning the "manna," reminds me of a passage in Daniele Bartoli's "Asia."

Speaking of the island of Ormuz—which is described as one of the places in the world worst supplied in even commonest necessities of life, and scarcely having any water—the historian tells us that "not even thorns and briars could grow on its barren soil; no animals or birds (*sic*) are seen there all the year round, but every morning a dew falls which congeals into grains, has a very sweet taste, and is called 'manna.'"

Now, tamarisks affect sandy soils or brackish shores; and as *T. mannifera* grows in Arabia, it may be that the exudations from the plants were blown from Oman, on the eastern shore of Arabia, across the Persian Gulf; or, perhaps, from the nearer coast of Persia. This would seem to confirm the belief that manna is the product of the tamarisk, and not of a lichen.

Tooting College, S.W., February 26.

B. TIMOTHY.

"*Heterocephalus glaber*" in North Somaliland.

IN reference to the note given in NATURE (vol. lv. p. 301) on the mammals collected in North-east Africa by Dr. Donaldson Smith, it may interest some of your readers to learn that in October

trained mountaineers, and thus obtained meteorological records much wanted at that time. Subsequently, another group of members of the Alpine Club turned their attention to the Caucasus, where their explorations resulted in the first accurate knowledge of its lofty summits and its great snow-fields and glaciers. Of the Alpine climbers who have taken part in this work, Mr. Douglas Freshfield was one of the earliest, was the best qualified as a geographer, and has been by far the most persistent. His "Central Caucasus and Bashan" (1869), which has taken place as an Alpine classic, helped to rouse the first interest in England regarding the former mountains; and now, after thirty years' further work has been done, he has collected the principal results into a monograph, which is unquestionably the best illustrated book in the literature of mountaineering.

Mr. Freshfield begins with a chapter on the "Dis-

¹ "The Exploration of the Caucasus." By Douglas W. Freshfield. With illustrations by Vittorio Sella. Imp. 8vo. 2 vols. Pp. xxiii + 278; pp. x + 295; with 3 maps and 76 full-page illustrations, and 2 mountain panoramas. (London: Edward Arnold, 1896.)